

Proposal Title :	Ballina LEP 2012 – Rezoning	of Stage 7a of Ballina Heig	jhts Estate.	
Proposal Summary :	The planning proposal seeks to amend Ballina LEP 2012 by rezoning 28 lots in stage 7a of Ballina Heights Estate from R3 Medium Density Residential and B2 Local Centre to R2 Low Density Residential.			
PP Number :	PP_2013_BALLI_011_00	Dop File No :	13/20027	
nning Team Recon	nmendation	all and the second		
Preparation of the plan	ning proposal supported at this	stage : Recommended wit	n Conditions	
S.117 directions: Additional Information :	1.1 Business and Industria 3.1 Residential Zones 5.1 Implementation of Reg 6.1 Approval and Referral It is recommended that;	ional Strategies Requirements		
	<ol> <li>A community consulta</li> <li>The planning proposal</li> <li>A written authorisation</li> </ol>	tor General agree that the	ecessary. 9 months. issued to Ba inconsisten	Illina Shire Council. cy of the proposal with
Supporting Reasons :	The reasons for the recom 1. The proposal to rezon	mendation are as follows; e 28 lots of the subject lar		B2 Local Centre and part
	R3 Medium Density Reside Strategy, and the inconsis 2. The proposal will ensi residential subdivision wh	ential is not inconsistent w tencies with the S117 dire ure the zoning of the land	vith the Far N ctions are of is consisten	lorth Coast Regional <sup>-</sup> minor significance. t with the low density
nel Recommendatio	Strategy, and the inconsis 2. The proposal will ensure residential subdivision wh	ential is not inconsistent w tencies with the S117 dire ure the zoning of the land	vith the Far N ctions are of is consisten	lorth Coast Regional <sup>-</sup> minor significance. t with the low density
nel Recommendation	Strategy, and the inconsis 2. The proposal will ensures residential subdivision wh	ential is not inconsistent w tencies with the S117 dire ure the zoning of the land	vith the Far N ctions are of is consisten d constructe	lorth Coast Regional <sup>-</sup> minor significance. t with the low density
2	Strategy, and the inconsis 2. The proposal will ensure residential subdivision wh on a: This planning proposal Determination is to be i	ential is not inconsistent w tencies with the S117 dire ure the zoning of the land ich has been approved an	vith the Far N ctions are of is consisten d constructor rendation : phificance an y the Region	lorth Coast Regional f minor significance. t with the low density ed over the site.
Recommendation Date Panel Recommendatio	Strategy, and the inconsis 2. The proposal will ensure residential subdivision when on m: This planning proposal Determination is to be in planning proposal will in	ential is not inconsistent w tencies with the S117 dire ure the zoning of the land ich has been approved an Gateway Recomm is considered of local sig ssued under delegation by	vith the Far N ctions are of is consisten d constructor rendation : phificance an y the Region	lorth Coast Regional f minor significance. t with the low density ed over the site.
Recommendation Date Panel Recommendatio	Strategy, and the inconsis 2. The proposal will ensure residential subdivision when on m: This planning proposal Determination is to be in planning proposal will in	ential is not inconsistent w tencies with the S117 dire ure the zoning of the land ich has been approved an Gateway Recomm is considered of local sig ssued under delegation by	vith the Far N ctions are of is consisten d constructer hendation : nificance an y the Region panel.	lorth Coast Regional f minor significance. t with the low density ed over the site.
Recommendation Date Panel Recommendatio	Strategy, and the inconsis 2. The proposal will ensures residential subdivision when on a: This planning proposal Determination is to be in planning proposal will on	ential is not inconsistent w tencies with the S117 dire ure the zoning of the land ich has been approved an Gateway Recomm is considered of local sig ssued under delegation by not be considered by the p Gateway Dete	vith the Far N ctions are of is consisten d constructer hendation : nificance an y the Region panel.	lorth Coast Regional f minor significance. t with the low density ed over the site. d the Gateway al Director. Therefore the
Recommendation Date Panel Recommendatio	Strategy, and the inconsis 2. The proposal will ensuresidential subdivision when on a: This planning proposal Determination is to be in planning proposal will on 12-Dec-2013	ential is not inconsistent w tencies with the S117 dire ure the zoning of the land ich has been approved an Gateway Recomm is considered of local sig ssued under delegation by not be considered by the p Gateway Dete	with the Far M ctions are of is consisten d constructer mendation : unificance an y the Region panel.	lorth Coast Regional f minor significance. t with the low density ed over the site. d the Gateway al Director. Therefore the
Recommendation Date Panel Recommendation teway Determination Decision Date : Decision made by :	Strategy, and the inconsis 2. The proposal will ensures in esidential subdivision where Determination is to be in planning proposal will on 12-Dec-2013 Regional Director, Northern 14 Days The Planning Proposal show	ential is not inconsistent w tencies with the S117 dire ure the zoning of the land ich has been approved an Gateway Recomm is considered of local sig ssued under delegation by not be considered by the p Gateway Dete Region LEP Timefran uld proceed subject to the	vith the Far M ctions are of is consisten d constructer rendation : inificance any the Region panel. ermination : ne : following co	Iorth Coast Regional minor significance. t with the low density ed over the site. d the Gateway al Director. Therefore the Passed with Conditions 9 months onditions;
Recommendation Date Panel Recommendation teway Determination Decision Date : Decision made by : Exhibition period : Gateway	Strategy, and the inconsis 2. The proposal will ensures in esidential subdivision where Determination is to be in planning proposal will on 12-Dec-2013 Regional Director, Northern 14 Days The Planning Proposal show	ential is not inconsistent w tencies with the S117 dire ure the zoning of the land ich has been approved an Gateway Recomm is considered of local sig ssued under delegation by not be considered by the p Gateway Dete Region LEP Timefran uld proceed subject to the on is required under sectio	vith the Far M ctions are of is consisten d constructer rendation : inificance an y the Region panel. ermination : ne : following co ns 56(2)(c) a	Iorth Coast Regional minor significance. t with the low density ed over the site. d the Gateway al Director. Therefore the Passed with Conditions 9 months onditions;
Recommendation Date Panel Recommendation teway Determination Decision Date : Decision made by : Exhibition period : Gateway	Strategy, and the inconsis 2. The proposal will ensuresidential subdivision when on a: In : This planning proposal Determination is to be in planning proposal will in 12-Dec-2013 Regional Director, Northern 14 Days The Planning Proposal show 1. Community consultation	ential is not inconsistent w tencies with the S117 dire ure the zoning of the land ich has been approved an Gateway Recomm is considered of local sig ssued under delegation by not be considered by the p Gateway Dete Region LEP Timefran uld proceed subject to the on is required under sectio Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as f is classified as low impact ing & Infrastructure 2013)	vith the Far M ctions are of is consisten d constructer endation : ynificance an y the Region panel. ermination : ne : following co ns 56(2)(c) a as describe	lorth Coast Regional minor significance. t with the low density ed over the site. d the Gateway al Director. Therefore the Passed with Conditions 9 months onditions; nd 57 of the Environment d in A Guide to Preparing

## Ballina LEP 2012 – Rezoning of Stage 7a of Ballina Heights Estate.

2. No consultation is required with public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act.

3. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).

4. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.

Signature:

the they	
	8
STEPHEN MURRAY Date:	12 DECEMBER 2013

Printed Name: